



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,370	02/21/2001	Etsuro Ogata	OGATA4	9907
1444	7590	06/09/2009	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			HARRIS, ALANA M	
624 NINTH STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300			1643	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/09/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/763,370	Applicant(s) OGATA ET AL.
	Examiner Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/17/2009.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 2-6,8-15,25-30 and 32-34 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 2-6,8-15,25-30 and 32-34 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CC)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments and Amendments

1. Claims 2-6, 8-15, 25-30 and 32-34 are pending.
Claims 6, 8, 30 and 32-34 have been amended.
Claims 35 and 36 have been cancelled.
Claims 2-6, 8-15, 25-30 and 32-34 are examined on the merits.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Withdrawn Grounds of Objection

Specification

3. The objection of the amendment filed May 17, 2006 and May 1, 2007 under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure is withdrawn in light of Applicants' submission of a declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by authorized professional translator of technical material, Yoichi Nakayoshi on March 17, 2009.

Withdrawn Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The **NEW MATTER** rejection of claims 2-6, 8-15, 25-30, 33 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in light of Applicants' submission of a declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by authorized professional translator of technical material, Yoichi Nakayoshi on March 17, 2009. Claims 35 and 36 have been cancelled.

5. The rejection of claims 2-6, 8-15, 25-30, 32-34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in light of Applicants' submission of a declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by authorized professional translator of technical material, Yoichi Nakayoshi on March 17, 2009. Claims 35 and 36 have been cancelled. Claims 35 and 36 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The rejection of claims 35 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tähtelä R and Thölix E (Anticancer Res. 16(4B): 2289-93, Jul-Aug, 1996, abstract only) is withdrawn in light of the cancellation of the claims.

7. The rejection of claim 35 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akimoto S et al. (Jpn J Clin Oncol. 26(3):157-63, June 1996) is withdrawn in light of the cancellation of the claim.

Maintained Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. The **NEW MATTER** rejection of claim 32 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is maintained. Claims 35 and 36 have been cancelled.

Applicants have not addressed the rejection set forth on page 6, section b in the Action mailed September 17, 2008, hence it is maintained and repeated.

a. Claim 32 are regarded as containing new matter because they cite a method of evaluation the efficacy of a drug and a method of evaluating the degree of exacerbation, respectively utilizing just one marker, ICTP, a bone resorption marker. However, the specification, specifically the Examples, 1-3 does not support the implementation of this sole marker yielding information regarding the methods.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0831. The Examiner works a flexible schedule, however she can normally be reached between the hours of 7:30 am to 6:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Larry R. Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.
05 June 2009
/Alana M. Harris, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643